

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-941-5560

864-223-1878

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	45	197	111
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	73.3%	65.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	68.2%	74.1%	50.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	65.1%	82.3%	44.1%

PACT PERFORMANCE								
	Englis	RAY OF TESTING	/, -	JOH Basic	/ -	Proficient	Advanced on Profi	cient and sicet
	/ut	KLI LESTI	Cested /	OM Box	Basic	oroficit	Awani St	cientance
	EMO	840. o/o	lested old Be	ale oh	ok	0/0	1 0/0 bio.	cient ance
			1-11	igiisn/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	702	99.9	34.5	46.4	16.7	2.5	19.1	17.6
Gender	0.47	400.0	40.4	44.0	44.0	0.0	40.0	47.0
Male	347	100.0	43.4	44.3	11.3	0.9	12.3	17.6
Female	355	99.7	26.0	48.4	21.8	3.9	25.7	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	338	99.7	22.8	50.3	22.5	4.4	26.9	17.6
White		100.0	44.9	43.3	11.2	0.6	11.8	17.6
African-American	342							1
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	21	100.0	60.0	26.7	13.3	N/A	13.3	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status Not disabled	F 7 7	00.0	07.0	40.0	40.0	2.0	20.0	47.0
Not disabled Disabled	577	99.8	27.2	49.9	19.9	3.0	22.9	17.6
	125	100.0	68.1	30.2	1.7	N/A	1.7	17.6
Migrant Status		0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	47.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	702	99.9	34.4	46.5	16.7	2.5	19.2	17.6
English Proficiency	1.1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Limited English proficient Non-limited English proficient	14							
Socio-Economic Status	688	99.9	33.4	47.0	17.0	2.5	19.5	17.6
Subsidized meals	401	100.0	45.3	45.3	9.1	0.3	9.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	300	99.7	20.8	47.8	26.3	5.2	31.5	17.6
i uli-pay meais	300	99.1	20.0	47.0	20.3	3.2	31.5	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	702	100.0	32.4	39.9	19.4	8.3	27.7	15.5
Gender	102	100.0	0Z.4	00.0	10.4	0.0	21.1	10.0
Male	347	100.0	35.8	40.3	15.4	8.5	23.9	15.5
Female	355	100.0	29.2	39.6	23.2	8.0	31.3	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	555	100.0	20.2	55.0	20.2	0.0	01.0	10.0
White	338	100.0	21.5	40.4	24.6	13.6	38.2	15.5
African-American	342	100.0	43.0	39.3	14.3	3.4	17.8	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	21	100.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	N/A	20.0	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	IN/PA	0.0	11/1-1	14/74	TW/FA	IN//A	14/74	10.0
Not disabled	577	100.0	27.1	40.7	22.1	10.0	32.2	15.5
Disabled	125	100.0	56.9	36.2	6.9	N/A	6.9	15.5
Migrant Status	120	100.0	50.0	55.E	5.5	14// 1	5.0	.0.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	702	100.0	32.3	40.0	19.4	8.3	27.7	15.5
English Proficiency	. 02		-2.0			3.0		
Limited English proficient	14	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	688	100.0	31.7	40.1	19.8	8.4	28.2	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	000		J 1.11		. 5.5	J. 1		.0.0

42.9

19.3

40.7

39.0

100.0

100.0

300

13.5 26.9 3.0 14.8 15.5

15.5

41.7

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

223

100.0

triding of testics olo Profit Barbarded olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 214 29.9 42.2 22.3 5.7 28.0 N/A Grade 7 213 51.4 N/A 24.1 21.2 3.3 24.5 Grade 8 218 N/A 34.1 49.3 14.7 1.9 16.6 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 247 100.0 37.9 42.2 17.7 2.2 19.8 Grade 7 232 99.6 35.0 45.1 17.5 2.4 19.9

30.2

52.1

14.9

2.8

17.7

	Mathematics										
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
20	Grade 6	214	N/A	36.0	36.0	19.4	8.5	28.0			
	Grade 7	213	N/A	36.3	36.3	13.2	14.2	27.4			
•	Grade 8	218	N/A	46.9	45.0	5.7	2.4	8.1			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2003	Grade 6	247	100.0	23.3	42.7	25.9	8.2	34.1			
	Grade 7	232	100.0	39.6	30.9	18.8	10.6	29.5			
	Grade 8	223	100.0	35.3	45.6	13.0	6.0	19.1			

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 707)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	49.2%	Up from 0.0%	11.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	3.3%	Down from 3.8%	2.7%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	92.6%	Down from 93.9%	94.9%	95.2%
	14.4%	Up from 14.2%	12.5%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	18.5%	Down from 18.6%	14.7%	14.1%
	7.8%	Up from 6.5%	4.2%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	4.5%	Down from 7.1%	1.1%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.2%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 46)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	28.3%	Up from 20.0%	45.2%	47.1%
	65.2%	Up from 64.4%	81.6%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	74.7%	Down from 74.9%	84.0%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.2%	Up from 91.6%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$35,178	Up 1.4%	\$38,921	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	13.9 days	Up from 12.4 days	11.0 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.5 to 1	Up from 10.6 to 1	20.9 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	86.0%	Up from 84.5%	88.6%	88.9%
	\$5,409	Up 0.5%	\$5,752	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	57.5%	Up from 56.2%	62.0%	62.0%
	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	96.0%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample	,
---	---

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Southside Middle School had a very successful 2002-2003 school year. Faculty, students, and parents were very proud of the improvements seen on the report card for 2002.

Many programs were continued from the previous year and emphasis was placed on varied instructional methods to increase achievement. An Academy period of 40 minutes was added each day to accelerate achievement in math and reading. A HOST mentoring program was begun and over 40 mentors were enlisted to provide one-on-one assistance for students. Incentive programs were provided by our PTO to encourage student participation in the Accelerated Reader Program. Incentives were also provided by our PTO to reward student achievement and behavior.

A Homework Center was provided first semester and over 200 students took advantage of this service until it was terminated due to budget cuts.

Teachers participated in staff development programs in the areas of thinking maps, integrated instruction, hands-on mathematics; gifted and talented instruction, and standards based instruction.

Students participated in many after-school clubs, community service projects, and athletic programs. Championships were won by the girls in volleyball, basketball, and soccer. The Southside cheerleaders, chorus, and steppers performed at the "In The Spotlight" showcase of talent. Academic Challenge Teams placed second and fourth in district competition.

The efforts and enthusiasm of the staff and students helped achieve our theme for 2002-2003. We truly believe we "saved the best for last" during our final year of operation as Southside Middle School.

Cynthia C. Storer Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.